

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Adv. Ravinder Singh (9317561575)
H. No. 16, Shaheed Nagar
Chhehartta , Amritsar-143105.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Improvement Trust,
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar

Sh. Pradeep Jaiswal (By Name) (9814745321)
O/o SE, Improvement Trust,
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority- cum-EO
O/o Improvement Trust,
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3902 of 2019
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Ravinder Singh, the appellant in person.
For the respondent: Sh. Ravinder Kumar (XEN) (9988503377) along with Advocate
Gautam (9915701111)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.09.2020 vide which penalty amounting Rs. 5,000/- was imposed on the concerned respondent PIO and compensation amounting RS. 3,000/- was awarded to the appellant. A copy of previous order was sent to the Executive Officer, O/o Improvement Trust, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar by name through registered post for the compliance of order along with directions to make sure concerned official to attend the hearing. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 18.11.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Ravinder Kumar states that partial information was sent to the appellant vide letter no. 5171 dated 27.10.2020 and rest of the information was sent to the appellant vide letter no. 5637 dated 05.11.2020. He added that compensation cheque amounting Rs. 3,000/- (bearing cheque no. 001654 dated 23.10.2020) has already been supplied to the appellant and penalty amounting Rs. 5,000/- has been deposited in the Government treasury as per the Order of the Commission dated 16.09.2020. He further mentioned that he will send an email in this regard to the Commission after the court proceedings.

3. On this, appellant, Sh. Ravinder Singh states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO. He added that he has received the compensation cheque from the respondent.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant to the best possible extent. An email dated 18.11.2020 from the respondent PIO is on record comprising copy of compensation cheque (bearing cheque no. 001654

Appeal Case No.: 3902 of 2019
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

dated 23.10.2020) along with copy of penalty deposit challan (receipt no. 635818 dated 23.10.2020).

Therefore no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is
disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.11.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Lajpat Rai, (9814230616)
S/o Sh.HarbansLal, # B-3/287
Romana Street, Jaito,
District Faridkot.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO
O/o District Language Office, Faridkot

Public Information Officer
O/o Executive Officer,
Nagar Council, Jaiton, Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Director Language Department,
Patiala.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.: 161 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg, the appellant in person.
For the respondent:
Sh. Jagmeet Singh (Clerk) (9463317119)
Sh. Naib Singh (JA) (9872222109) at PSIC Office.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.09.2020 vide which appellant was advised to point out the deficiency in the supplied information which was sent to him by the respondent PIO dated 15.09.2020 through registered post. Respondent PIO was directed to remove the deficiency, if any pointed out by the appellant before the next date of hearing. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 18.11.2020 i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, representative of the appellant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg states that he has sent a letter to the respondent PIO regarding the deficiency in the supplied information.
3. On this, respondent, Sh. Naib Singh states that requisite information in both the appeal case numbers 161 and 162 of 2020 has already been supplied to the appellant as per the official record. He added that appellant sent a letter to the respondent office through which appellant imposing allegations on the concerned respondent department.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, sufficient requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant to the best possible extent. Appellant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg always give this or that excuse/reason regarding the supplied information not only in this case even in other cases which were earlier heard by the undersigned bench. Therefore no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.11.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Lajpat Rai, (9814230616)
S/o Sh.HarbansLal, # B-3/287
Romana Street, Jaito,
District Faridkot.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO
O/o District Language Office, Faridkot

Public Information Officer
O/o Executive Officer,
Nagar Council, Jaiton, Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Director Language Department,
Patiala.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.: 162 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg, the appellant in person.
For the respondent:
Sh. Jagmeet Singh (Clerk) (9463317119)
Sh. Naib Singh (JA) (9872222109) at PSIC Office.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.09.2020 vide which appellant was advised to point out the deficiency in the supplied information which was sent to him by the respondent PIO dated 15.09.2020 through registered post. Respondent PIO was directed to remove the deficiency, if any pointed out by the appellant before the next date of hearing. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 18.11.2020 i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, representative of the appellant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg states that he has sent a letter to the respondent PIO regarding the deficiency in the supplied information.
3. On this, respondent, Sh. Naib Singh states that requisite information in both the appeal case numbers 161 and 162 of 2020 has already been supplied to the appellant as per the official record. He added that appellant sent a letter to the respondent office through which appellant imposing allegations on the concerned respondent department.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, sufficient requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant to the best possible extent. Appellant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg always give this or that excuse/reason regarding the supplied information not only in this case even in other cases which were earlier heard by the undersigned bench. Therefore no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.11.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Sunil Dutt , (7986305331)

President Residents Welfare Association,
R/o Flat No 1102, Tower I-2,
Maya Garden City, Zirakpur.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o MC, Zirakpur

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 118 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Nobody on behalf of the complainant
For the respondent: Sh. Lakhvir Singh (Building Inspector) (9914780020).

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.09.2020 vide which complainant, Sh. Sunil Dutt stated that unattested copy of the latest layout plan has been supplied to him and respondent, Sh. Lakhvir Singh assured that he will supply the original layout plan to him. Respondent PIO was absent and another opportunity was given to him to represent this case. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 18.11.2020 i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Lakhvir Singh states that attested copy of the required information has already been supplied to the complainant by hand. He has sent an acknowledgement signed by the complainant to the Commission through an email 18.11.2020.
3. Neither the complainant, Sh. Sunil Dutt is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that an email dated 18.11.2020 received from the respondent PIO comprising a copy of letter no.87 dated 09.11.2020 with remarks of the complainant "received with thanks", which is taken on record. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed**.
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.11.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Jasbir Singh, M : 9888296107

Village Bolapur. Jhabewal,
Post Office Ramgarh,
District Ludhiana

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SSP, Khanna

First Appellate Authority

O/o SSP, Khanna

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1932 of 2020

Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant in person.
For the respondent: ASI, Roor Singh (9780001280)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.09.2020 vide which appellant intimated the Commission that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO. Respondent PIO was absent and another opportunity was given to him to represent this case. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 18.11.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Roor Singh states that requisite information has already been sent to the appellant dated 08.09.2020.

3. On this, appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh states that he is satisfied with the supplied information.

4. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case.

Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.11.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Rajendra Singh Panwar (9592216710)

S/o Late Sh. Bhagat Singh Panwar,
Village Pipola, Po Jakhnidhar,
Distt. Tehari Garhwal, Uttrakhand

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Addl. Chief Secretary Home,
Govt. of Punjab, Chandigarh

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 475 of 2020

Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Rajender Singh Panwar, complainant at PSIC Office.

For the respondent:

ASI, Davinder Singh (9463541414) through CISCO WEBEX.

Sh. Ram Parshad (SA) at PSIC Office.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.09.2020 vide which appellant was absent. Respondent, Sh. Ram Parshad stated that RTI application was transferred to the concerned office which is SSP, Kapurthala. Respondent PIO was directed to collect the required information and supply it to the complainant. A copy of previous order was sent to the respondent PIO, O/o SSP, Kapurthala along with RTI request to represent this case. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 18.11.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Davinder Singh states that requisite information has already been sent to the complainant.

3. On this, complainant, Sh. Rajender Singh Panwar states that he is satisfied with the supplied information.

4. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. it is also observed that a letter dated 09.09.2020 was received from the appellant in the Commission vide diary no. 11794 dated 11.09.2020 which was not taken on record inadvertently in order passed by the Commission dated 16.09.2020.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.11.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner